**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

ANTHONY LOQUASTO,	)
	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
vs.	) **Case No. 21-cv-350-SMY**
	)
KILOLO KIJAKAZI, ACTING	)
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	)
SECURITY,	)
	)
Defendant.	)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

YANDLE, District Judge:

Before the Court is the parties' Agreed Motion to Remand to the Commissioner (Doc. 24), seeking to have this case remanded for further proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A sentence four remand (as opposed to a sentence six remand) is based upon a finding of error, and is itself a final, appealable order. *See Perlman v. Swiss Bank Corporation Comprehensive Disability Protection Plan*, 195 F.3d 975, 978 (7th Cir. 1999). Upon a sentence four remand, judgment should be entered in favor of the plaintiff. *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 302-303 (1993). Here, the parties agree that, upon remand, the Administrative Law Judge should re-evaluate Plaintiff's subjective complaints, the residual functional capacity finding, take further action to complete the administrative record, and issue a new decision.

For good cause shown, the parties' Agreed Motion to Remand to the Commissioner (Doc. 24) is **GRANTED**. The final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security denying Plaintiff's application for social security disability benefits is **REVERSED and REMANDED** to the Commissioner for rehearing and reconsideration of the evidence, pursuant to sentence four of 42

U.S.C. § 405(g). The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and close this case.

      IT IS SO ORDERED.

      DATED: July 7, 2022

STACI M. YANDLE
United States District Judge